IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:

CHICKEN SOUP FOR THE SOUL ENTERTAINMENT, INC., et al.,

Debtors. 1

Chapter 7

Case No. 24-11442 (MFW)

(Jointly Administered)

Hearing Date: October 23, 2024, at 4:00 p.m.

Related Docket Nos. 396 and 412

ORDER DENYING CHICKEN SOUP FOR THE SOUL, LLC'S AND WILLIAM ROUHANA'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Upon consideration of *Chicken Soup for the Soul, LLC's and William Rouhana's Motion for Protective Order* (the "Motion for Protective Order") [D.I. 396]² seeking an order quashing the 30(b)(6) Deposition and the Rouhana Deposition; and the Court having reviewed the Motion for Protective Order and the opposition thereto [D.I. 412]; and considered the arguments made at the hearing held on October 23, 2024; and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware*, dated February 29, 2012; and this Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2) and that this Court having found

The Debtors in these cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number (where applicable), are: 757 Film Acquisition LLC (4300); Chicken Soup for the Soul Entertainment Inc. (0811); Chicken Soup for the Soul Studios, LLC (9993); Chicken Soup for the Soul Television Group, LLC; Crackle Plus, LLC (9379); CSS AVOD Inc. (4038); CSSESIG, LLC (7150); Digital Media Enterprise, LLC; Halcyon Studios, LLC (3312); Halcyon Television, LLC (9873); Landmark Studio Group LLC (3671); Locomotive Global, Inc. (2094); Pivotshare, Inc. (2165); RB Second Merger Sub LLC (0754); Redbox Automated Retail, LLC (0436); Redbox Entertainment, LLC (7085); Redbox Holdings, LLC (7338); Redbox Incentives LLC (1123); Redwood Intermediate, LLC (2733); Screen Media Films, LLC; Screen Media Ventures, LLC (2466); and TOFG LLC (0508).

² Capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion for Protective Order.

Case 24-11442-MFW Doc 433 Filed 10/28/24 Page 2 of 2

that venue of this proceeding and the Motion for Protective Order in this district is proper pursuant

to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having reviewed the Motion for Protective Order;

and upon the record herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, IT

IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion for Protective Order is **DENIED** for the reasons stated on the record.

2. This Court retains jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to

the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

Dated: October 28th, 2024 Wilmington, Delaware MARY F. WALRATH

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

2